



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,768	01/17/2006	Bernhard Kohl	27133U	1474
34375                      7590                      03/10/2009 NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC 112 South West Street Alexandria, VA 22314				
EXAMINER POLANSKY, GREGG				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1614				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/10/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/564,768

**Applicant(s)**

KOHL ET AL.

**Examiner**

GREGG POLANSKY

**Art Unit**

1614

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) GREGG POLANSKY.(3) FRED WOLF.(2) ARDIN MARSCHEL.(4) SHELDON MCGEE.

Date of Interview: 02 March 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 3.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cui et al. (Chinese Patent Pub. 1367172) and Koh (U.S. 6,410,659,B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed 35 USC 112 first and second paragraph rejections and changes to claim language which may overcome these rejections. Discussed how the structures (with regard to the hydroxyl counter ion) taught by Cui et al. may or may not be different from the structures of the instant claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Gregg Polansky/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1614